

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/673,503	Applicant(s) OHMI ET AL.
	Examiner Amir Alavi	Art Unit 2621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amir Alavi. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. George R. Pettit (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2-8,34-40,44-49,60-62,65,68 and 71.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative called Examiner to indicate that in his response to the restriction requirements and his election of Group III, he had missed to include claims 61 and 65 and at the same time Applicant argues that claims 4,6,35-39,60,62,68 and 71 should be included in the examination of Group III, while claim 66 should be excluded. Examiner agrees with the Applicant regarding all issues, an Office Action indicative of such examination along with this interview summary will be mailed out . Also Examiner pointed out that claim 8, has a dependency either to claims 66 or 7, Applicant's representative indicated that claim 66, should be rewritten as 6, also the dependency of claim 34 to claim 3, claim 39 to 34, claim 40 to 3, claim 60 to 45, claim 62 to 61 and claim 68 to 34, claim 71 to 60 were all agreed upon.